

FILED

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 15 OF 2000

BETWEEN

J.N.Divya, S/o Shri Panchram Divya, aged about 41 years, Officer, Bilaspur Raipur Kshetriya Gramin Bank,Branch Khamhi, District Bilaspur, residing at Tekha Road, Bhatapara, Gurunanak Ward, District Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

PETITIONER

A N D

1. Bilaspur Raipur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Through its Chairman, Head Office Dayalbandh, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).

2. Chairman, Bilaspur Raipur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Head Office Dayalbandh, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh).

3. The Enquiry Officer (Shri J.R.Sahu), Branch Manager, Bilaspur Raipur Kshetriya Gramin Bank, Limtara Bilaspur District Bilaspur(Chhattisgarh).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Advocate

(56)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No.15/00 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

06-8-2002

Shri Deepak Jain, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the respondents.

Heard.

During the course of enquiry proceedings, as appears from the record, the petitioner had moved an application to call a Hand Writing Expert for the examination of signatures and thumb impressions of various witnesses. This application was rejected. Being aggrieved with the said rejection, the petitioner came up before this Court by way of this writ petition in which an interi order was passed on 17-11-2000, staying further proceedings before the Enquiry officer.

Return has been filed on behalf of the respondents that subsequent to the filing of the writ petition they have permitted the petitioner to call a Hand Writing Expert for examination of signatures and thumb expressions, which are in dispute. Thus the grievance with which the petitioner approached this Court no longer survives. In this view of the matter no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending, as it can be disposed of at this stage, in view of the return filed by the respondents and because the grievance of the petitioner does not survive.

In view of the above matter, W.P.No.15/2000 & M.W.P.No.155/2001, stand disposed of.

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge

[ ] देखिये/

Reshan